Hes under his PJ.

7/29/71

PH-Your 7/27 to Lesar:
Continuing correspondence I also recommend. I think, in fact, that I did some time
ago, for you might suddenly become the beneficiary of some external pressures.
The complaint can put forth arguments. It may not be a good idea, but it does make
a record and might force them to address it. If you delay they may get a judge who'd
throw the whole thing out wihout much ado.
There are not always oral arguments. And you can be cut off in the middle of a
sentence with an incompleted major point by a good judge, as I was.
Until I pushed them, Bud and Jim, mu source, had paid practically no attention to
that unadopted conference report. It has some of our best research, best added remedies,
and none of the lawyers knew it or thought of it.
You'll learn that the computer project is like everything else about the CTIA, and
even if you know the subject, you still do not know what they put in and what they left
out. Do you suppose it is because Bud expected your agreement that you were not consulted?
He and I discussed this long before they got started on it, and I strongly encouraged him
to consult you and he said he would. Par.
Your 7/20 to Mitchell: Not mandatory very good. I may have forced him to that
admission in CA718-70, Ray/King. Inv file part p. 1 also good, but I think you should
next ask what law? When we did that, believe it or not, they actually argued in court
"natural law" and the crooked judge accepted it! The suggestion those dirty reds gypped
the brave FBI is cute. I think your last sentence could have been strengthened by his
predicessor's citation of Warren on this poit, maximum disclosure.
I wish I thought you'd sent that attached Fieffer cartoon! It is great.
I expect Jerry soon. He'll take it, be sure!

Jim was here last weekend and said he was going to write you.

After that Cook thing, please listen to me and deal with Jim only and on a personal
basis, where he does not have to consider that he has to consult Bud, for once Bud says
something, that is the way it will be with Jim until he can't take it any longer, and
Bud's ego is up to ruining anything. He gave Cook as the shit Cook didn't create for
himself, and Cook also knows better. These guys who work and accomplish nothing, especially
when they know how brilliant they are (ask them(develop a strange thing. Can you imagine
Cook coming up with nothing with his background, his excellent writing on other things?
It galls him into doing what he could not otherwise conceive himself doing.
I am not filing any more suits with or through Bud. I'll go htrough what he has
already messed up with him, but one Garrison was too much, and if the suits are going to
be messed up, I don't need any help, being perfectly cpabale of making an unassisted mess.
Please take my adviace and send them nothing that can be misused or should be followed
further. Do you need more than one Boggs-side? There are others you dongt know about.
Garrison reminds me: I have an extra set of the xeroxes of the affidavits, and I'll
want both but can lend, and I'll have a complete set of the clips, which I'll give after
I transfew the markings, should you want. It is certainly part of the overall picture in
two concepts: consequences of the assassination and framing of critic. What came from his
mouth may have been terrible, but JG was a strong (if irresponsible) critic. My own feeling
is that he has been denied his rights pretty clearly and deliberately (to the detriment of
the law, its interpretation and precedent), was at the very least entrapped, and at the
very most the best that can be said for those affidavits is they infer he may have committed
a non-federal violation, non-feasance. He's in by his heels and Hoover's vengenace and
the administrations ulterior purposes. I'm gald Bailey has taken the case because he'll
also control it, and few can control Jim. In haste,